Dr. Ulrich Klocke klocke@hu-berlin.de Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Psychology Department Sozial- and Organizational Psychology



Sexualization of Children or Human Rights? Beliefs and Attitudes Towards Making Sexual Orientation Diversity an Issue in Schools

On behalf of the Federal Anti-Discrimination Agency

Hagen, January 17th 2020

Presentation at 24 hours of Political Psychology





- 1. Sexual orientation diversity in schools
 - a) Why should we care?
 - b) What is the current situation?
 - c) Norms and regulations
- 2. Attitudes in the German population toward making sexual orientation diversity an issue at schools
 - Are they driven by values or by beliefs, e.g. misinformation?
- 3. Representative telephone survey in autumn 2016
- 4. Conclusion

Sexual orientation diversity in schools: Why should we care?

WD H. T. BERLIN.

- Lesbian, gay and bisexual (LGB) youth: considerably higher risk of depression and suicide (Marshal et al., 2011; Plöderl & Tremblay, 2015)
 - ... due to more frequent victimization (Burton et al., 2013; Ioerger, Henry, Chen, Cigularov & Tomazic, 2015)
- "Gay" or "fag" used as swearword by 62% of 6th graders in Berlin, "lesbian" by 40% (Peer reports on the past 12 months, Klocke, 2012)
- Perceiving homophobic swearwords
 ¬> more negative attitudes to
 lesbians and gays (Nicolas & Skinner, 2012)



", In the school(s) you visit(ed), are/were there any ...?"

Sexual orientation diversity in schools:

students who were openly lesbian, gay, or bisexual?

teachers who were openly lesbian, gay, or bisexual?

yes, many



Sexual orientation diversity in schools: Norms and regulations



In Europe

 Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe (2010, VI, 32): "Member states should ... [provide] pupils and students with the necessary information, protection and support to enable them to live in accordance with their sexual orientation and gender identity."

In Germany

 Since 2000, several German states (Berlin, Baden-Württemberg etc.) implemented education plans or changed school curricula to promote acceptance of LGBTI by making sexual orientation and gender diversity an issue in school (Dissens - Institut für Bildung und Forschung e.V., 2019, for an overview)

Resistance to sexual and gender diversity in schools



2013: OpenPetition *No Education Plan 2015 Under The Ideology* of the Rainbow received 192,450 signatures

Reasons given in the comments under the OpenPetition and newspaper articles (e.g. FAZ, 2014: Unter dem Deckmantel der Vielfalt)

- Misinformation: Sexualisation: The plan was to encourage children to try different sexual practices "with everyone and everything"
- Different values: Traditional families, committed partnership: Children were best of in heterosexual couples. The plan neglected moral values such as love and deep feelings.

Ulrich Klocke: Should schools promote acceptance of sexual orientation diversity?

Research questions

- How widespread is the opposition of making sexual orientation diversity an issue in schools?
- 2. How can the opposition be explained and reduced?
 - a) Different social values?
 ➡ Integrate values

 b) Beliefs: E.g. misinformation on the aim/content of the education plan?
 Provide correct information



Telephone survey in autumn 2016

(Küpper, Klocke, & Hoffmann, 2017, on behalf of the Federal Anti-Discrimination Agency)



- Random selection of phone numbers and household members (Gabler & Häder, 1997). Response rate = 13%. Drop out during interview = 1%.
- ... about "marriage, family and partnership and about people's attitudes to issues currently being discussed in society"
- 2,013 German inhabitants aged 16 years and older
 - 1,024 of these were also asked about their social values
- Sample description without adjustment:
 - Age: *M* = 50.0 years, *SD* = 17.3 years
 - Sex: 54.5% ♀, 45.4% ♂
 - Education: (Intended) graduation: 55.1% (Fach-)Abitur, 31.4% max. Realschulabschluss, 13.5% max. Hauptsch.abs.
 - Sexual orientation: 93.9% heterosexual, 2.3% bisexual, 1.5% gay/lesbian, 1.3% no answer, 0.6% don't know, 0.3% other
- Sample adjusted to population: age, sex, education level

Measured Attitudes



Variable	Number of items		М	SD	Cronb. α
Making sexual orientation diversity an issue at school	5	• "School materials (e.g. books, films or assignments) should also include homo- or bisexual persons." • "When it comes to love and partnership, only heterosexual couples of men and women should be present at school." (reversed)	3.2	0.7	.72
Classical homophobia (vs. approval of equal rights)	9	 "Homosexuality is immoral." "It is good that homosexual people are legally protected against discrimination." (reversed) 	1.6	0.6	.78
Modern homophobia	5	 "Homosexuals should stop making such a fuss about their sexuality." • " In the media, the subject of homosexuality takes up too much space." 	2.0	0.8	.82
Affective attitudes to LG	4	• "You learn that a colleague at work is gay." • " lesbian" • " your son's guardian at the nursery is gay." • " your daugther's guardian at the nursery is lesbian." > "very unpleasant very pleasant"	2.7	0.5	.91
Sex education in schools	5	• "The following topics should be dealt with in the context of sex education in schools: Reproduction." • "Dangers that may be associated with the subject, e.g " • "The beautiful sides of sexuality."	3.4	0.6	.71
Sex education by parents	1	 "Sex education is the task of parents." 	3.5	0.7	·

Each scale from 1 (don't agree at all or very unpleasant) to 4 (fully agree or very pleasant)

Measured Beliefs and Values



					R ^L
Variable	Number of items	Example item(s)	М	SD	Cronb α
Beliefs					
Homosexual by socialisation	4	 "A person is homosexual because they have had bad experiences with the opposite sex." " were seduced by someone else." 	1.9	0.6	.68
Homosexual since birth	1	• " were born that way, e.g. because of her genes or hormones in pregnancy."	2.8	1.0	
Early knowledge about own homosexuality	1	• "Most homosexuals already notice as a child or adolescent that they are homosexual."	2.9	0.8	
LGB are discriminated	2	"Homosexuals and bisexuals are still discriminated against or disadvantaged Germany today." • "HB adolescents are more often victims of mobbing and iscrimination than heterosexual adolescents."		0.7	.68
Children well off in same- sex couples	1	 "Children who grow up with same-sex couples develop just as well as children who grow up with male and female couples." 	3.0	1.0	
Values					
Committed partnerschip and family	4	It is beneficial for society when people enter into a marriage or partnership at lasts until the end of their life." • " have and raise children."		0.5	.60
Self-determination	2	can decide for themselves what they want to do." $ullet$ " are able to plan and ect their activities themselves."		0.5	.64
Tradition	2	 " respect traditions." • " maintain the customs they have learned." 	3.3	0.7	.70

Each scale from 1 (don't agree at all or very unpleasant) to 4 (fully agree or very pleasant)

Attitudes toward making sexual orientation diversity (SOD) an issue at school



It should be one of the school's goals to convey the acceptance of homosexual and bisexual persons to pupils.

School materials (e.g. books, films or assignments) should also include homosexual or bisexual persons.

Schools should do something to prevent students from using terms such as 'fagot', 'homo', 'sissy' or 'lesbian' as swear words.

When it comes to love and partnership, only heterosexual couples of men and women should be present at school.

Addressing sexual diversity at school confuses children in the development of their sexuality.



Don't agree at all Rather not agree [No answer] [Don't know] Rather agree Fully agree

Knowledge about the education plans to make SOD an issue in schools

- Only 20% have heard about education plans.
- Of these ...
 - 91% knew that they aim at promoting acceptance of homoand bisexual people
 - 14% believed they aim at encouraging students to try out different sexual practices.



Hierarchical regression of attitudes toward making SOD an issue at school (Step 1)

	All participants $(N = 943)$			education plans = 202)
	Step 1	Step 2 (incl. attitudes, beliefs, and values; see next slide)	Step 1	Step 2 (incl. attitudes, beliefs, and values; see next slide)
Male (vs. female) sex	-0.11 **	-0.03	-0.12 #	-0.06
Age	-0.03	0.09 ***	-0.01	0.14 **
Education level	0.11 **	0.01	0.27 ***	0.00
Migration background (vs. no migr. backgr.)	-0.18 ***	-0.02	-0.05	-0.01
Living in east Germany (vs. west or Berlin)	-0.03	-0.02	-0.09	-0.09 *
Non-heterosexual orientation	0.08 **	0.02	0.00	0.04
Personal contact to LGB (number of people)	0.19 ***	-0.03	0.33 ***	0.11 *

p < .10, * p < .05, ** p < .01, *** p < .001

Hierarchical regression of attitude toward making SOD an issue at school (Step 2)



	All participants (N = 943)	Heard about educatio plans (N = 202)	n v _{BERL} y
A: Classical homophobia (vs. approval of equal rights)	-0.36 ***	-0.42 ***	_
A: Modern homophobia	-0.17 ***	0.01	
A: Affective attitudes to LG	0.11 ***	0.08	
A: Sex education is the task of the school	0.17 ***	0.19 **	
A: Sex education is the task of parents	0.01	-0.02	
B: Homosexual by socialisation	-0.09 **	0.00	_
B: Homosexual since birth	0.02	-0.01	
B: Early knowledge about own homosexuality	-0.03	-0.04	
B: LGB are discriminated	0.03	-0.09 #	
B: Children well off in same-sex couples	0.11 ***	0.17 **	A = Attitudes
B: Education plan: Acceptance of LGB		0.22 ***	B = Beliefs
B: Education plan: Try out different sexual practices		-0.11 **	V = Values
V: Committed partnerschip and family	-0.02	-0.02	<i># p < .100</i>
V: Self-determination	-0.01	0.00	* <i>p</i> < .050 ** <i>p</i> < .010
V: Tradition	0.02	-0.10 *	*** p < .001

Conclusion



- Opposition to education plans: about 10 to 25%
- Controlling for sociodemographics and general attitudes to LGB and sex education, it can be explained by
 - misinformation (1. aim of the education policies, 2. "causes" of homosexuality, 3. well-being of children in same sex couples)
 - small effect of social values (tradition)
- In order to reduce opposition, provide information about
 - how education plans are implemented specifically
 - reasons for misinformation (e.g. organized people/groups, increase media attention/sales)

References

٠



- Burton, C. M., Marshal, M. P., Chisolm, D. J., Sucato, G. S. & Friedman, M. S. (2013). Sexual minority-related victimization as a mediator of mental health disparities in sexual minority youth: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 42*, 394-402. doi:10.1007/s10964-012-9901-5
- Committee of Ministers of the Council of Europe. (2010). *Recommendation CM/Rec(2010)5 of the Committee of Ministers to member states on measures to combat discrimination on grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity.* Verfügbar unter: https://search.coe.int/cm/Pages/result_details.aspx?ObjectID=09000016805cf40a
- Dissens Institut für Bildung und Forschung e.V. (2019). *Interventionen für geschlechtliche und sexuelle Vielfalt: Materialien: Rechtliche Rahmenbedingungen.* Verfügbar unter: https://interventionen.dissens.de/materialien/rechtliche-rahmenbedingungen [15.01. 2020].
- Ioerger, M., Henry, K. L., Chen, P. Y., Cigularov, K. P. & Tomazic, R. G. (2015). Beyond Same-Sex Attraction: Gender-Variant-Based Victimization Is Associated with Suicidal Behavior and Substance Use for Other-Sex Attracted Adolescents. *PLoS One, 10*(6), e0129976. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129976
- Klocke, U. (2012). Akzeptanz sexueller Vielfalt an Berliner Schulen: Eine Befragung zu Verhalten, Einstellungen und Wissen zu LSBT und deren Einflussvariablen. Berlin: Senatsverwaltung für Bildung, Jugend und Wissenschaft. Verfügbar unter Zugriff am 26.11.2018 unter http://www.psychologie.hu-berlin.de/prof/org/download/klocke2012_1.
- Küpper, B., Klocke, U. & Hoffmann, L.-C. (2017). *Einstellungen gegenüber lesbischen, schwulen und bisexuellen Menschen in Deutschland. Ergebnisse einer bevölkerungsrepräsentativen Umfrage. Hg. v. Antidiskriminierungsstelle des Bundes.* Baden-Baden: Nomos. Verfügbar unter <u>http://www.antidiskriminierungsstelle.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/publikationen/Umfragen/Umfrage_Einstellungen_geg_lesb_schwulen_n_und_bisex_Menschen_DE.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=2.</u>
- Marshal, M. P., Dietz, L. J., Friedman, M. S., Stall, R., Smith, H. A., McGinley, J.et al. (2011). Suicidality and depression disparities between sexual minority and heterosexual youth: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 49*, 115-123. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2011.02.005
- Nicolas, G. & Skinner, A. L. (2012). "That's So Gay!" Priming the General Negative Usage of the Word Gay Increases Implicit Anti-Gay Bias. *Journal of Social Psychology*, *152*, 654-658. doi:10.1080/00224545.2012.661803
- Plöderl, M. & Tremblay, P. (2015). Mental health of sexual minorities. A systematic review. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 27(5), 367-385. doi:10.3109/09540261.2015.1083949
- Toomey, R. B., Ryan, C., Diaz, R. M., Card, N. A. & Russell, S. T. (2013). Gender-nonconforming lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth: School victimization and young adult psychosocial adjustment. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 1(S), 71-80. doi:10.1037/2329-0382.1.S.71



Thank you very much for your attention!

Questions? Comments?